UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/530,226 | 08/26/2005 | Oemer Uensal | 15588-00009 | 1035 | |
| | 7590 12/09/200 OVE LODGE & HUT | EXAMINER | | | |
| PO BOX 2207 | | HU, HENRY S | | | |
| WILMINGTON | N, DE 19899 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1796 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/09/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/530,226 | UENSAL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| HENRY S. HU | 1796 | | |

| | HENRY S. HU | 1796 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | - | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 32-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>25-31</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| 10. | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | /Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1796 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. NOTE: With second response (no amendment) after final, no claim is currently amended, cancelled or added. It is noted that the first response (with amendment) after final includes: Claim 1 is amened; non-elected Claims 25-31 are still withdrawn, while no claim is cancelled or added. The amendment on parent Claim 1 is only to correct the typographical error (line 2) and also to use the correct wording "obtained" (last two line). The scope of Claims 1-24 and 32-34 is fundamentally not changed, particularly for both parent claims including Claims 1 and 32. Therefore, both amendments (after final) are entered.

In view of Applicants' argument (see page 12 of Remarks) on the 102(e) date used for US 7,540,984 B2 to Calundann et al., many things are not clear.

i In order to be accurate, Examiner will need to consult with expert(s) in USPTO. At the same time, Examiner will search more in the art to see the case is allowable or new non-final office action is needed. Examiner understands that the withdrawning Claims 25-26 and 27-31 are authorized by Attorney Ashley Pezzner to cancel in case the case is allowable. See page 11 at top section of Remarks.

In summary, reconsideration and new search are thereby required to be sure of such questions being fully answered. Examiner Henry Hu, au 1796, December 7, 2009.